

Andrey Ronzhin
Mikalai Bakach
Alexander Kostyaev *Editors*



Agriculture Digitalization and Organic Production

Proceedings of the Fourth International
Conference on Agriculture Digitalization
and Organic Production (ADOP 2024),
Minsk, Belarus, June 05–08, 2024

Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies

Volume 397

Series Editors

Robert J. Howlett, KES International, Shoreham-by-Sea, UK

Lakhmi C. Jain, KES International, Shoreham-by-Sea, UK

The Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies book series encompasses the topics of knowledge, intelligence, innovation and sustainability. The aim of the series is to make available a platform for the publication of books on all aspects of single and multi-disciplinary research on these themes in order to make the latest results available in a readily-accessible form. Volumes on interdisciplinary research combining two or more of these areas is particularly sought.

The series covers systems and paradigms that employ knowledge and intelligence in a broad sense. Its scope is systems having embedded knowledge and intelligence, which may be applied to the solution of world problems in industry, the environment and the community. It also focusses on the knowledge-transfer methodologies and innovation strategies employed to make this happen effectively. The combination of intelligent systems tools and a broad range of applications introduces a need for a synergy of disciplines from science, technology, business and the humanities. The series will include conference proceedings, edited collections, monographs, handbooks, reference books, and other relevant types of book in areas of science and technology where smart systems and technologies can offer innovative solutions.

High quality content is an essential feature for all book proposals accepted for the series. It is expected that editors of all accepted volumes will ensure that contributions are subjected to an appropriate level of reviewing process and adhere to KES quality principles.

Indexed by SCOPUS, EI Compendex, INSPEC, WTI Frankfurt eG, zbMATH, Japanese Science and Technology Agency (JST), SCImago, DBLP.

All books published in the series are submitted for consideration in Web of Science.

Andrey Ronzhin · Mikalai Bakach ·
Alexander Kostyaev
Editors

Agriculture Digitalization and Organic Production

Proceedings of the Fourth International
Conference on Agriculture Digitalization
and Organic Production (ADOP 2024),
Minsk, Belarus, June 05–08, 2024

 Springer

Editors

Andrey Ronzhin
St. Petersburg Federal Research Center
of the Russian Academy of Sciences
St. Petersburg, Russia

Mikalai Bakach
Scientific and Practical Center
of the National Academy of Sciences
of Belarus on Agricultural Mechanization
Minsk, Belarus

Alexander Kostyaev
St. Petersburg Federal Research Center
of the Russian Academy of Sciences
St. Petersburg, Russia

ISSN 2190-3018 ISSN 2190-3026 (electronic)
Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies
ISBN 978-981-97-4409-1 ISBN 978-981-97-4410-7 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-4410-7>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2024

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

If disposing of this product, please recycle the paper.

Organization

Conference Chairs

Dmitry Komlach, RUE SPC of the NAS of Belarus for Agricultural Mechanization, Belarus; Academician of the RAS Alexander Kostyaev, SPC RAS, Russia

Program Committee Chairs

Nikolay Bakach, RUE SPC of the NAS of Belarus for Agricultural Mechanization, Belarus

Andrey Ronzhin, SPC RAS, Russia

Program Committee Members

Mikhail Arkhipov, Russia

Vlado Delik, Serbia

Abusupyan Dibiroy, Russia

Eduard Dyba, Belarus

Vadzim Dzemidchuk, Belarus

Ivan Ermolov, Russia

Oksana Glibko, Russia

Mehmet Guzey, Turkey

Viktar Haldyban, Belarus

Dmitry Hort, Russia

Larisa Ilyina, Russia

Evgeny Ivashko, Russia

Evgeny Khrustalev, Russia

Vladimir Klybik, Belarus
Alyona Kodolova, Russia
Sergey Kosogor, Russia
Valentina Kundius, Russia
Georgy Laptev, Russia
Nikolay Lepeshkin, Belarus
Francisco Mas, Spain
Roman Meshcheryakov, Russia
Vadim Mikulski, Belarus
Roman Nekrasov, Russia
Adalat Pashayev, Azerbaijan
Francesco Pieri, Italy
Mirko Rakovic, Serbia
Elena Semenova, Russia
Svetlana Shchepetkina, Russia
Mikhail Tatur, Belarus
Alexander Tristanov, Russia
Noah Velasquez, Mexico
Elena Yildirim, Russia
Anton Yurin, Belarus

Organizing Committee Co-chair

Anton Yurin, RUE SPC of the NAS of Belarus for Agricultural Mechanization, Belarus

Organizing Committee Members

Marina Astapova, SPC RAS, Russia
Natalia Dormidontova, SPC RAS, Russia
Dmitriy Levonevskiy, SPC RAS, Russia
Alyona Lopotova, SPC RAS, Russia
Alina Mikhailus, SPC RAS, Russia
Anna Moreva, SPC RAS, Russia
Anna Motienko, SPC RAS, Russia
Irina Podnozova, SPC RAS, Russia
Alexandr Smerchansky, SPC RAS, Russia
Ekaterina Cherskikh, SPC RAS, Russia

Chapter 24

Utilization and Recycling of Agricultural Waste with the Help of Biological Objects



Yan Li , Viktor Lemiasheuski , and Svetlana Maksimova

Contents

24.1	Introduction	290
24.2	Materials and Methods	292
24.2.1	Materials	292
24.2.2	Methods	293
24.3	Results and Discussions	295
24.3.1	Worm Survival and Acute Toxicity Tests	295
24.3.2	Experiments with Base Material Additions at Different Stages of Fermentation Vermicomposting Process and Production of Vermicompost	296
24.3.3	Vermicomposting Process and Production of Vermicompost	296
24.4	Conclusions	297
	References	298

Abstract Currently, the poultry industry is showing an intensive development trend, which has led to a high concentration of poultry manure. Due to imperfect disposal methods and the lack of processing technology, handling poultry manure is difficult. If measures are not taken to dispose of feces effectively and promptly, large amounts of feces can accumulate near poultry farms, causing potential harm to the environment and human health. To study the effects of earthworm rearing using different bird droppings as base material on the survival, growth, and development of earthworms,

Y. Li (✉) · V. Lemiasheuski

International Sakharov Environmental Institute of Belarusian State University, Dawgabrodskaya Street 23, 220070 Minsk, Belarus

e-mail: ly159930897502@163.com

V. Lemiasheuski

e-mail: lemeshonak@yahoo.com

V. Lemiasheuski

All-Russian Research Institute of Physiology, Biochemistry and Nutrition of Animals—Branch of the Federal Research Center for Animal Husbandry named after Academy Member L. K. Ernst, Institute, 249013 Borovsk, Russian Federation

S. Maksimova

Scientific and Practical Center National Academy of Sciences of Belarus for Bioresources, 220070 Minsk, Belarus

this paper uses bird droppings with different fermentation periods as experimental factors to conduct earthworm survival and chicken and duck droppings analysis. The study also examined goose manure during different periods of fermentation. An acute toxicity test was carried out, based on which the possibility of using cattle manure and crop waste as a breeding substrate was tested. Experimental results show that chicken manure (depending on the fermentation period) is suitable for earthworm colonization and can serve as a substrate when adding cow manure and other crop wastes. At the same time, 100% fermented goose and duck manure can also be used as a substrate for earthworm breeding. And through the vermicomposting process, an efficient and long-lasting organic fertilizer (bio humus) is obtained.

24.1 Introduction

The livestock and poultry manure are a general term for the feces and urine discharged from the livestock and poultry farming process [1]. With the economic development, people's demand for livestock and poultry products soared, the farming industry in various countries is more and more presenting the development trend of scale, intensification, and specialization, and at the same time of development, the natural environment has been subjected to enormous pollution pressure [2]. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations listed intensive livestock and poultry farming as one of the world's three major sources of environmental pollution [3]. In the traditional agricultural production process, livestock and poultry manure is the main source of natural fertilizers, with the intensive aquaculture brought about by the characteristics of "planting and raising plant separation", resulting in the application of livestock and poultry manure in a timely manner, the treatment cost increases, and other problems are becoming more and more serious.

There are serious reasons for this problem. It is estimated that a chicken produces 80–100 g of manure per day, which is about 3–4% of its body weight [4], and an average-sized chicken farm (400,000 laying hens and 10 million broilers) produces up to 35,000 and 83,000 tons of manure per year and generates more than 400,000 m³ of wastewater with a high concentration of hazardous substances. The average litter size (considering the annual shrinkage of up to 65–70%) is 62 kg per adult bird and 42 kg per young bird, respectively. There are more than 67 poultry farms in Belarus. According to statistics, the stock of poultry on all types of farms in Belarus is 31.2 million birds. More than 1.56 million tons of chicken manure are produced, and there are existing technologies for semi-liquid and liquid manure treatment, but they mainly suffer from high costs, high energy consumption, and the need for special equipment. Thus, the problem of pollution from a wide range of sources of livestock manure and the development of new technologies for chicken manure treatment have become major constraints to the sustainable development of the Belarusian farming industry.

Like other livestock manures, poultry is a nutrient-rich organic waste. The content and nature of its chemical composition depend on the type of poultry,

rearing methods, feeding practices, and accumulation conditions that determine their reusability. The author's investigation found that laying hen manure has a moisture content of 68–78%, specific gravity between 6.8– and .4, and density of 1.04–1.15 g/cm³. Poultry manure has different physical, mechanical, and chemical properties depending on the method of poultry rearing (bedding or non-bedding), species of birds (hen, broiler, duck, goose, turkey), and rearing cycle [5]. And, solid manure is composed of 80% organic matter (4.1% — crude fat, 14.3% — crude fiber, 46.9% — non-nitrogenous extracts, 9.3% — amino acids, and 7.3% — mixtures), and the rest is: 4.6% — total nitrogen, 2% — total phosphorus, 1.7% — potassium oxide, 8.6% — calcium, 0.03% — copper, 0.03% — iron, 0.02% — zinc, 0.7% — magnesium, and 0.3% — magnum [6]. Meanwhile, according to Whitehead D. C, the average nitrogen content (total *N*) in poultry manure was 1.5%, the average ammonium nitrogen (total *N*) in poultry manure was 7%, and *N* protein (total *N*) was 40%. Nitrogenous organic matter is decomposed by urobacteria to produce ammonia. Phosphorus in poultry manure is not fixed in the soil in the form of iron, aluminum, or calcium phosphate, so it is more readily absorbed by plants than phosphorus in mineral fertilizers. The assimilable forms contain up to 50% nitrogen, almost 20% phosphorus, and almost 70% calcium [7].

However, overuse of such natural fertilizers can lead to ecological pollution such as deterioration of air quality, increase in greenhouse gas emissions, accumulation of harmful heavy metals, eutrophication of water bodies, soil acidification, and loss of soil nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus). Leaching, erosion, and runoff result from lack of consideration of nutrient requirements of crops [8]. Moreover, the unpleasant odor that untreated chicken manure can produce can be of concern to flies, pests, and rodents and poses a clear threat to human health in addition to pathogen colonization and antibiotic resistance [9]. These factors have prompted the need to investigate alternatives for the treatment and use of chicken manure to use it sustainably and economically.

Vermicomposting has gained global attention in the last few decades due to its technical simplicity and effectiveness [10]. Various wastes such as animal manure [11], agricultural wastes [12], industrial wastes [13], and municipal wastes [14] can be used as feedstock for vermicomposting. Vermicomposting is a bio-oxidative, thermophilic, natural decomposition process in which earthworms and microorganisms synergistically mineralize the organic waste substrate and convert it into nutrient-rich organic fertilizer [15]. In this process, two useful products are obtained, i.e., vermicompost, and bio humus . Vermicompost can be used as a soil conditioner and earthworms can be used in pharmaceuticals and fishpond feed. Vermicompost has several advantages over chemical fertilizers and is useful for crops. Vermicompost contains nutrients (in plant usable form), humic acids, and growth hormones, and hence, it is widely used as organic fertilizer in organic farming [16]. Studies conducted by different authors have shown that the use of vermicompost can increase seed germination, nutrient growth, and yield of crops without compromising soil health. Intensive agriculture has seriously affected the soil health and fertility. Application of vermicompost helps to improve the physical, chemical, and biological properties of the soil, thereby maintaining soil health and fertility [10, 13]. Various reports indicate

that vermicomposting can also be used in other areas such as wastewater treatment, soil remediation, and energy production [17, 18].

Eisenia foetida is a type of earthworm that belongs to the class of fecal earthworms. This type of earthworm has a very wide range of feeding habits, a high reproductive rate, is more active, and is highly adaptable, which makes it “stand out” in the treatment of livestock manure and has attracted a lot of attention. Its intestinal cellulose has a strong enzyme activity, and decomposition ability is outstanding. Similarly, the feeding capacity of this type of earthworm is considerable, and the weight of the manure it consumes can be equal to its own weight. Thus, 100 million earthworms in 1 min can handle 40~50 t of organic waste and in the process produce 20 t of vermicompost organic fertilizer [19]. According to the author’s investigation, earthworms feed on agricultural wastes, plant wastes, sewage treatment plant residues, fruit wastes, and so on. Therefore, the use of earthworms to treat manure can not only solve the current problem and can play a positive role in the green development of agriculture, but also produce organic fertilizer and animal manure in the process of decomposition. Vermicomposting technology is an important part of a country’s circular economy, which generates energy from waste and promotes the greening of the environment and the economy.

Research purpose. We took into account the characteristics of poultry farms, such as large emission of livestock and poultry manure, low treatment rate, serious pollution, low comprehensive utilization, etc., combined with the advantages and operability of vermicomposting technology. Therefore, this study explores the method of vermicomposting technology to treat poultry manure, in order to solve the problem of environmental pollution and waste of resources caused by the lack of proper treatment of poultry manure, and to provide a reasonable and feasible technological route for the resourceful utilization of poultry manure.

24.2 Materials and Methods

24.2.1 Materials

To study the possibility of using guano in vermiculture, composted manure from chickens, geese, turkeys, and ducks was collected from poultry farms (Minsk, Borisov, and Brest oblasts) and placed on site. Experiments on biological humus production were carried out. Earthworms (*Eisenia foetida*, Sav) were provided by the vermiculture laboratory of the Scientific and Practical Center for Biological Resources of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus. In addition, the substrate suitability process was carried out in homemade vermiculture containers (20 × 30 × 10 cm). Physico-chemical analyses were carried out at the Scientific and Practical Center for Bioresources of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, and vermiculture experiments were carried out at the above-mentioned poultry farm. Samples of chicken, goose, and duck manure from different composting periods were

subjected to visual analysis, and physicochemical analyses of all samples were also carried out; data on the physicochemical composition are presented in Table 24.1.

24.2.2 *Methods*

Physico-chemical Methods of Analysis

Physico-chemical analysis methods are performed according to the following methods:

- Determination of color and odor — visually and organoleptically.
- Determination of the qualitative composition of bio humus is carried out by methods of laboratory analysis, used in the quality control of organic fertilizers, according to “Methodological guidelines for the analysis of organic fertilizers”, M: Kolos, 1984, in accordance with GOST 27980-88.
- Mass fraction of moisture according to GOST 26713-85.
- Mass fraction of organic matter according to GOST 27980-88.
- Acidity (hydrogen ion activity index of salt suspension) according to GOST 27979-88.
- Bulk density according to GOST 24701-81.
- Mass fraction of nutrient elements is determined by:
 - Total nitrogen according to GOST 26715-85.
 - Total phosphorus according to GOST 26717-85.
 - Total potassium according to GOST 26718-85.

Experimental Design of Vermicultivation and Vermicomposting

Worm survival and acute toxicity studies were conducted on chicken, goose, and duck manure at different fermentation periods.

The essence of the experiment: We placed five worms in each box in a prepared container containing droppings of different fermentation periods. We also experimented with the bases at different stages of fermentation, adding cow manure to some of the containers. The experiment lasted 60 days. Worms in containers were counted daily.

After testing the substrate and survival of more than 90% of the worm population, the vermicomposting process began. This process for chicken manure lasted more than six months. At the same time, physicochemical analyses were carried out every month to determine the quality of the final product and the population density was determined to determine the required number of biological objects for processing.

Table 24.1 Physico-chemical parameters of droppings of different species of birds of different fermentation periods

No. material	pH	Zola (%)	Moisture (%)	Total nitrogen (%)	Total phosphorus (%)	Total potassium (%)	Organic material (%)	CaO (%)
Chicken droppings 2 years	6.83 ± 1.25	9.98 ± 1.15	52.34 ± 1.53	0.84 ± 0.12	1.47 ± 0.21	1.01 ± 0.16	37.68 ± 1.32	1.10 ± 0.11
Chicken droppings 1 years	7.72 ± 1.23	9.45 ± 1.41	58.90 ± 2.51	1.17 ± 0.16	0.92 ± 0.13	1.33 ± 0.18	31.65 ± 1.84	0.90 ± 0.14
Goose droppings, 6 months	7.50 ± 1.37	3.86 ± 0.95	69.58 ± 3.71	0.32 ± 0.07	0.17 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.09	28.56 ± 2.47	0.60 ± 0.10
Duck droppings, 6 months	7.40 ± 1.14	7.10 ± 1.09	71.0 ± 4.16	0.62 ± 0.07	0.85 ± 0.10	0.52 ± 0.04	30.7 ± 2.49	1.0 ± 0.08

24.3 Results and Discussions

24.3.1 *Worm Survival and Acute Toxicity Tests*

- Option 1: Chicken Litter 1 Year (100%)

As a result of the experiments, it was revealed that dung earthworms released into 1-year-old chicken droppings died on the 2nd day of the experiment. The mortality rate was 100%. When adding 39% soil and 23% cattle manure to chicken manure for 1 year, it turned out that earthworms died on the 2nd day of the experiment. The worm mortality rate was 100%. When adding another 20% of cattle manure to the substrate, it turned out that worm mortality reached 60% on the third day. Continuation of the experiment showed that after 5 days, juveniles (juvenile stages) of earthworms were found in the container and no further mortality of earthworms was observed. Further observation of the life activity of earthworms in this container showed that the mortality rate was 0%, the number of adult worms in the container and juveniles increased by 100%, and the appearance of cocoons was noted. Thus, adding 60% cattle manure to chicken manure for 1 year, as well as 40% soil, promotes the growth and development of dung worms.

- Option 2: Chicken Manure 2 Years (100%)

As a result of the experiment, it was revealed that dung worms released into chicken droppings for 2 years turned out to be lethargic on the second day of the research and died on the 5th day of the experiment. Reintroduction of earthworms into chicken droppings for 2 years showed that by the end of the experiment, the worms were alive, but no growth or development of the population was observed. It should be noted that the addition of 20% cattle manure stimulated the worms to further their growth and development.

- Option 3: Goose Droppings (100%)

As a result of the experiment, it was revealed that dung worms released into goose droppings (100%) survived throughout the entire experiment. The mortality rate was 0%. Moreover, a month after the experiment, the number of worms increased by 50% and the presence of cocoons was revealed. Further observation of earthworms in the container showed that they did not die, but there was an increase in the number of cocoons. Thus, goose droppings can be a substrate for the growth and development of earthworms.

- Option 4: Duck Droppings 100%

As a result of the experiment, it was revealed that dung worms released into duck droppings (100%) survived throughout the entire experiment. The mortality rate was 0%. Moreover, a month after the experiment, the number of worms increased by 20% and the presence of cocoons was revealed. Further observation of earthworms in the container showed that they did not die, but the number of cocoons increased

and juveniles appeared. Thus, duck droppings can be a substrate for the growth and development of earthworms.

24.3.2 Experiments with Base Material Additions at Different Stages of Fermentation Vermicomposting Process and Production of Vermicompost

In addition, we conducted an experiment with litter of different fermentation periods. Straw and peat were added to the chicken manure. We have found that the composition of substrates significantly affects the population size of earthworms. Thus, if peat was used instead of straw, the population size of earthworms decreased significantly. The largest number of laid cocoons was recorded in substrates based on chicken manure for 2 years with the following ratios of ingredients: 50% manure — 50% straw and 75% manure — 25% straw. The latter option is preferable not only in terms of processing volume, but also a significant increase in the weight of worms, which in the future can be used as a protein feed additive in the diets of animals and birds. The negative effect of fresh droppings on earthworms can be eliminated if the substrate is presented with droppings along with straw, peat, and sawdust in a 3:1 ratio.

24.3.3 Vermicomposting Process and Production of Vermicompost

As a result of vermicomposting process, a highly effective organic fertilizer of prolonged action —“bio humus”—was obtained.

The fertilizer—“bio humus”, is obtained by biotechnological processing of organic wastes of livestock and poultry farming, as well as any organic wastes by vermicomposting (technological line “Belarusian ploughman” dung earthworm species *Eisenia foetida* (Sav). “Bio humus” is intended for use in agricultural production, horticulture, horticulture, horticulture, floriculture, for growing seedlings of vegetable and ornamental crops, on homestead plots as an organic fertilizer and as a component for the preparation of soil mixtures, organ mineral fertilizers, and soils.

Organic fertilizer BIOGUMUS can be applied to the soil:

- By area method, i.e., by spreading over the soil surface.
- Locally, i.e., in a hole under each plant.
- In the form of a solution.

When preparing soil mixtures, it is recommended:

- For vegetable and flower seedlings: 1 part of “bio humus” mixed with 3–5 parts of sod earth or peat; for flowers in pots: 1 part of “bio humus” mixed with 4–5 parts of soil.
- When planting tomatoes, peppers, eggplant, put 100–200 g of “bio humus” under each plant.
- When sowing green crops (parsley, lettuce, dill, spinach, etc.) in the beds, it is necessary to spread “bio humus” evenly over the surface of the bed on the pre-moistened soil, mix it with the soil, water it, and then sow the seeds. Apply 0.5–1.0 kg of “bio humus” per 1 square meter of bed.
- When planting potatoes, it is advisable to apply 100–200 g of “bio humus” under each tuber.
- When planting strawberries, it is recommended to put 150–200 g of “bio humus” in each well.
- When planting winter garlic, add 0.5 kg of “bio humus” per 1 square meter of bed, mix the soil to a depth of 10 cm.
- When planting shrubs (gooseberries, currants, etc.), 1.5 kg of “bio humus” should be added to the planting hole, thoroughly mixed with soil, watered, and planted.
- When planting fruit trees, 2 kg of “bio humus” should be added to the planting pit for each seedling (apples, pears, cherries, plums, etc.) and mixed with soil.
- To feed vegetable and berry plants during the growing season once a month, sprinkle “bio humus” around the stems of plants or in the inter-row at the rate of 0.5 kg of “bio humus” per 1 square meter, and mix with soil and water.
- To feed shrubs and fruit trees, “bio humus” is spread under the crown at the rate of 0.5 kg/m².
- When fertilizing flowers and ornamental plants in the open ground, “bio humus” should be applied monthly at the rate of 150–200 g for each plant or 0.5 kg/m² of flowerbed, lawn.
- When fertilizing indoor flowers, “bio humus” should be applied under the plant once every 2 months 2–3 tablespoons.

24.4 Conclusions

During the research, it was found that chicken manure (depending on the fermentation period) is suitable for the colonization of earthworms and can be used as a substrate when adding cow manure and other agricultural wastes. At the same time, 100% fermented feces of geese and ducks can be used as a substrate for the life of earthworms. Moreover, through the vermicomposting process, a high-quality organic fertilizer (biological humus) can be obtained, which can make good use of biotechnology to process organic waste from livestock and poultry breeding. It is suitable for agricultural production, horticulture, floriculture, vegetable, and ornamental crop seedlings, where it is used as an effective organic additive for preparing soil mixtures and soils.

Immediately, we can see that earthworms can efficiently process agricultural waste and obtain beneficial nutrients by efficiently breaking down the materials in their feces. Moreover, the cost of earthworm farming is relatively low, the required technology is relatively simple, and the final benefits and feed benefits are better. Thus, earthworms can be used to improve the environment of livestock and poultry farms, reduce feeding costs, increase economic income, eliminate environmental pollution, and realize resource utilization of livestock and poultry manure, which is a necessity for sustainable development.

Acknowledgements The first corresponding author and PhD student, Yan Li, would like to thank the China Scholarship Council for providing a living grant.

References

1. Xie, G., Bao, W., Liu, J., et al.: Review on the status of livestock and poultry manure resources research in China. *J. China Agric. Univ.* **23**(04), 75–87 (2018)
2. Tang, W., Sun, X., Gao, H., et al.: Isolation and identification of multidrug-resistant bacteria in feces from large-scale livestock and poultry farms and their resistance characteristics. *J. Agric. Environ. Sci.* **39**(01), 207–216 (2020)
3. Li, Z., Zhang, Q.W., Zhang, A.P., et al.: Spatiotemporal characteristics of the bearing capacity of cropland based on manure nitrogen and phosphorus load in mainland China. *J. Clean. Prod.* **233**, 601–610 (2019)
4. Abdesahian, P., Lim, J.S., Ho, W.S., Hashim, H., Lee, C.T.: Potential of biogas production from farm animal waste in Malaysia. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **60**, 714–723 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.01.117>
5. Ostatochniy proekt DSTU Poslid pytsky. Tekhnolohii biolohichnoho pererobliannia. Zahalnyi vymohy. Kyiv, Ukraine (2012)
6. Pinchuk, V.O., Tertychna, O.V., Borodai, V.P., Mineralov, O.I.: Rozrakhunok azotnoho balansu ptakhopidpriemstv [Calculation of the nitrogen balance of poultry enterprises]. *Ahrokolohichniy zhurnal* **4**, 35–39 (2016). (In Ukrainian)
7. Whitehead, D.C.: *Nutrient Elements in Grassland: Soil-Plant-Animal Relationships*. Wallingford, United Kingdom (2000)
8. Zhang, L., Li, L., Pan, X., Shi, Z., Feng, X., Gong, B., Li, J., Wang, L.: Enhanced growth and activities of the dominant functional microbiota of chicken manure composts in the presence of maize straw. *Front. Microbiol.* **9**, 1131 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01131>
9. Duan, Y., Awasthi, S.K., Liu, T., Zhang, Z., Awasthi, M.K.: Response of bamboo biochar amendment on volatile fatty acids accumulation reduction and humification during chicken manure composting. *Biores. Technol.* **291**, 121845 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121845>
10. Hussain, N., Abbasi, S.A.: Efficacy of the vermicomposts of different organic wastes as “Clean” fertilizers: state-of-the-Art. *Sustainability* **10**(4), 1205 (2018)
11. Sharma, K., Garg, V.K.: Vermicompositaion of ruminant excreta using *Eisenia fetida*. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **24**(24), 19938e45 (2017)
12. Sharma, K., Garg, V.K.: Comparative analysis of vermicompost quality produced from rice straw and paper waste employing earthworm *Eisenia fetida* (Sav.). *Biores. Technol.* **24**(8), 7829e36 (2018)
13. Lee, L.H., Yeong, W.T., Shak, K.P.Y., Lim, S.L., Ng, K.Y., Nguyen, M.N., Teoh, W.H.: Sustainable approach to bio-transform industrial sludge into organic fertilizer via vermicomposting: a mini-review. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* **93**, 925e35 (2017)

14. Soobhany, N., Gunasee, S., Rago, Y.P., Joyram, H., Raghoo, P., Mohee, R., Garg, V.K.: Spectroscopic, thermogravimetric, and structural characterization analyses for comparing municipal solid waste composts and vermicomposts stability and maturity. *Biores. Technol.* **263**, 11e9 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.03.161>
15. Pramanik, P., Chung, Y.R.: Changes in fungal population of fly ash and vinasse mixture during vermicomposting by *Eudrilus eugeniae* and *Eisenia fetida*: documentation of cellulase isozymes in vermicompost. *Waste Manag.* **31**, 1169e75 (2011)
16. Dominguez, J., Edwards, C.A.: Biology and ecology of earthworm species used for vermicomposting. In: *Vermiculture Technology: Earthworms, Organic Wastes, and Environmental Management*. Boca Raton, FL, USA, pp. 27–40 (2011)
17. Pereira, M.G., Neta, L.C.S., Fontes, M.P.F., Souza, A.N., Matos, T.C., Sachdev, E.L., Santos, A.V., Souza, M.O.G., Andrade, M.A.V.S., Paulo, G.M.M., Ribeiro, J.N., Ribeiro, A.V.F.N.: An overview of the environmental applicability of vermicompost: from wastewater treatment to the development of sensitive analytical methods. *Sci. World J.* **2014**, 917348 (2014)
18. Li, Y., Lemiasheuski, V., Maksimova, S.: The simulated experimental design and study of the synergistic treatment of chicken manure and traditional chinese medicine residues on earthworm growth and soil quality. In: *E3S Web of Conference*, vol. **497**, p. 03012, 5th International Conference on Energetics, Civil and Agricultural Engineering (ICECAE 2024) (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202449703012>
19. Zhiqi, M., Jipeng, S., Jiale, X., et al.: A preliminary study on the effect of earthworms in treating livestock manure. *Tianjin Agric. Forest. Sci. Technol.* **6**, 1–2 (2020)

Part IV
Organic Livestock Farming: Poultry
and Dairy Production

Contents

Part I Digital Technologies and Robotics in Crop and Livestock Production

1 Digital Farming Solution by Automation Using Agri-Bot, Agri-Drone, and Agri-AGV for Organic Farming Practices	3
Gopal U. Shinde, R. C. Agrawal, Indra Mani, Anuradha Agrawal, U. M. Khodke, S. V. Muley, D. D. Tekale, S. R. Bhalerao, and O. D. Kakade	
1.1 Introduction	4
1.2 Agri-Bot	6
1.2.1 Grafting Robot-I	6
1.2.2 Grafting Robot-II	8
1.2.3 Organic Crop Health Monitoring Field Robots	9
1.2.4 Results	11
1.3 Agri-Drone	12
1.4 Agri-AGV	14
1.4.1 CAD/CAM/CAE Laboratory	14
1.4.2 Organic Sprayer AGV	15
1.4.3 Vegetable Transplanter	15
1.4.4 Organic Cotton Harvester	15
1.5 Machine Vision System for Agri-Bot, Agri-Drone, and Agri-AGVs	17
1.6 Mobile Seed Processing Unit	18
1.7 Conclusion	20
References	20

2	Smart Agriculture in Southeast State of Brazil: An Overview of Technology and Adoption	23
	Buddhabhushan D. Wankhade, Syed Ismail Ibrahim, P. H. Gourkhede, G. U. Shinde, and R. S. Salve	
2.1	Introduction	24
2.2	The Development of Agriculture and the Adoption of Smart Farming Technologies	25
2.3	Method	27
2.4	Results and Discussion	28
	2.4.1 Descriptive Analysis	28
	2.4.2 Qualitative Analysis	31
2.5	Conclusion	32
	References	33
3	Approach for Long-Term Forecasting of Frosts and Droughts in Smart Agriculture	35
	Olga Mitrofanova, Evgenii Mitrofanov, Ivan Blekanov, Vladimir Bure, and Alexander Molin	
3.1	Introduction	36
3.2	Materials and Methods	37
	3.2.1 Initial Data for Forecasting	37
	3.2.2 Basic Methods of Long-Term Forecasting	38
3.3	Results and Discussion	38
	3.3.1 Approach to Long-Term Forecasting of Frosts and Droughts	38
	3.3.2 Example	40
	3.3.3 Discussion	44
3.4	Conclusions	44
	References	45
4	Deep Learning for Pink Bollworm Detection and Management in Organic Cotton Farming Practices	47
	Sushant R. Bhalerao, Francisco Rovira-Mas, Indra Mani, B. V. Asewar, O. D. Kakade, S. V. Muley, and D. V. Samindre	
4.1	Introduction	48
4.2	Methods of Work and Calculations	49
	4.2.1 Detection of Pink Bollworm in Cotton Field by Mounting YOLO V8 Model on Husky Robot	49
	4.2.2 Materials for Pink Bollworm Detection	49
	4.2.3 Training YOLO v8 Model for Pink Bollworm Detection	50
	4.2.4 Calculation for Training YOLO v8 Deep Learning Model	52

4.2.5	Calculation for Performance Evaluation of Pink Bollworm (PBW) Detection System on Husky Robot	53
4.3	Results and Discussion	54
4.3.1	Calculation of Training Model for Pink Bollworm Detection	54
4.3.2	Calculation for Performance Evaluation of Husky Robot While Pink Bollworm Detection in Cotton Field	55
4.4	Conclusion	56
	References	59
5	The Use of Satellite Monitoring and Aerial Photography Data to Assess the Heterogeneity of Agricultural Crops in the Khabarovsk Territory	61
	Alexey Stepanov, Lyubov Illarionova, Tatyana Aseeva, and Artem Polyakov	
5.1	Introduction	62
5.2	Materials and Methods	63
5.2.1	Study Area	63
5.2.2	Data Acquisition	64
5.3	Results	65
5.3.1	Assessment of the Heterogeneity of Crop Development at the Regional Level	65
5.3.2	Assessment of the Within Field Heterogeneity of Soybean Crop Development	67
5.4	Conclusion	68
	References	69
6	Application of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles for Precision Spraying of Chemicals in Agronomic Operations: A Case Study of Potato Desiccation	71
	Marina Astapova, Anton Saveliev, and Artem Ryabinov	
6.1	Introduction	72
6.2	Analysis of Related Work	72
6.3	Materials and Methods	74
6.3.1	UAV	74
6.3.2	Problem Statement and Calculation of Optimal Flight Mission Parameters	75
6.3.3	Experiment Procedure	78
6.4	Results of the Experiment	79
6.5	Conclusion	80
	References	81

7 Local Positioning of an Agricultural Mobile Robot Based on a Vision System 83
Mikhail Tatur, Maksim Buren, Sergey Bushuk, Adalat Pashayev, Elkhon Sabziev, and Minglei Fu

7.1 Introduction 84

7.2 Main Part 85

7.2.1 Problem Statement 85

7.2.2 Mathematical Model for Calculating the Current Coordinates of the Robot 85

7.2.3 Verification of the Mathematical Model 88

7.2.4 General Requirements for the Characteristics of the Vision System and General Limitations for the Considered Method of Determining Coordinates 89

7.2.5 Real-World Experiment Platform 91

7.3 Conclusion 93

References 94

8 Voice-Controlled Autonomous Agri-robot for Organic Farming Pest and Disease Monitoring 95
Kavin Sundarr, Rohan Inamdar, and Gopal U. Shinde

8.1 Introduction 96

8.2 Methodology 98

8.2.1 Dataset 99

8.2.2 Deep Learning Model 100

8.2.3 Autonomous Robot 101

8.2.4 Path Planning 102

8.2.5 Voice Control 104

8.3 Results and Discussion 104

8.4 Conclusion 107

References 108

9 Evaluation Model for Digital Technology Efficiency: The Example of Intelligent Digital Video Monitoring of Early Disease Diagnosis and Physiological Cows Condition 111
Vladimir Surovtsev, Yulia Nikulina, Alexandra Zaytseva, and Sergey Kuleshov

9.1 Introduction 112

9.2 Materials and Methods 113

9.3 Results and Discussion 114

9.3.1 Prerequisites for Model Constructing and Testing Results 114

9.3.2 Procedure for Modeling Cost Profitability for IVMS-Project Implementation 118

9.4 Conclusion 121

References 122

10	Research of Reindeer Migration Routes Based on Tracking Technologies	123
	Larisa Zelenina, Lyudmila Khaimina, Ekaterina Demenkova, Maksim Demenkov, Inga Zashikhina, and Eugenii Khaimin	
10.1	Introduction	124
10.2	Main Part	125
	10.2.1 Animal Migration Tracking: Research Results and International Discussion	125
10.3	Conclusion	132
	References	133
11	Improving the Quality of X-ray Images of Seeds in Smart Farming Using Deep Learning	135
	Artem Popov, Ivan Blekanov, Mikhail Arkhipov, and Olga Mitrofanova	
11.1	Introduction	136
11.2	Materials and Methods	137
	11.2.1 Study Area	137
	11.2.2 Dataset Construction and Data Augmentation	138
	11.2.3 Neural Network Methods of Super-Resolution	139
	11.2.4 Evaluation Measures	141
11.3	Experiment	141
	11.3.1 Hardware and Software	141
	11.3.2 Neural Network Training Results	141
	11.3.3 Results and Discussions	142
11.4	Conclusions	144
	References	145
12	Digital Maize Crop Guardian: Automated Identification of Fall Armyworm Infestation Using Computer Vision	147
	Monica Shinde, Kavita Suryawanshi, Kanchan Kakade, and V. A. More	
12.1	Introduction	148
12.2	Literature Review	150
12.3	Current Methodology and Outcome Evaluation	151
	12.3.1 Deep Learning Models and Training Parameters	151
	12.3.2 Machine Learning Algorithms	154
12.4	Automatic Pest Detection	154
12.5	Testing	155
12.6	Proposed System Algorithm	155
12.7	Conclusion	157
	References	158

13	Enzymatic Detoxification of Zearalenone-Contaminated Wheat and Corn Grain Using a Recombinant Zearalenone Hydrolase from <i>Clonostachys rosea</i>	161
	Oleg Mikityuk, Natalia Statsyuk, Tatyana Nazarova, Yuri Denisenko, and Arkady Sinitsyn	
13.1	Introduction	162
13.2	Materials and Methods	163
13.2.1	Production and Purification of Recombinant ZHD	163
13.2.2	Estimation of the ZEN Degradation by Purified rZHD in Model Solutions	163
13.2.3	Grain Decontamination Test	164
13.2.4	Residual ZEN Quantification	165
13.2.5	Statistical Analysis	165
13.3	Results	165
13.3.1	Estimation of the ZEN Degradation by Purified rZHD in Model Solutions	165
13.3.2	Grain Decontamination Efficiency of rZHD	165
13.4	Discussion	168
13.5	Conclusion	169
	References	169
14	Organic and Inorganic Weed Management Practices in Soybean Under Different Land Configurations	171
	Sunita U. Pawar, Sneha Bhise, Apeksha Thombre, and Bhagwan Asewar	
14.1	Introduction	172
14.2	Methods of Work and Calculations	173
14.3	Results and Discussion	174
14.3.1	Weed Count	174
14.3.2	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)	174
14.3.3	Soil Moisture and Canopy Temperature	175
14.3.4	Yield	178
14.3.5	Economics	180
14.4	Conclusion	181
	References	182
Part II Digital Technologies and Robotics in Aquaculture		
15	Fishery in Armenia and Aqua-probiotics	185
	Anahit Manvelyan, Astghik Pepoyan, Liana Grigoryan, and Michael Chikindas	
15.1	Introduction	186
15.2	Data and Methods	187
15.3	Results and Discussion	188
15.3.1	Lake Sevan Basin	188
15.3.2	Fisheries Data	189

15.3.3	Salmon Intestinal Microflora, Feed, and Probiotics	190
15.4	Conclusions	191
	References	191
16	Automatic Determination of Sturgeon Size Using Deep Learning Technologies	195
	Roman Meshcheryakov, Konstantin Rusakov, and Gleb Tevyashov	
16.1	Introduction	196
16.2	Sturgeon Production	196
16.3	Fish Counting by Weight	197
16.4	Fish Counting by Volume	198
16.5	Acoustic Method	199
16.6	Vision Systems at Sturgeon Production	199
16.7	Experiments and Results Processing	202
16.8	Training Parameters	203
16.9	Conclusion	205
	References	205
17	Methods and Technical Means of Nonintrusive Assessment of Fish Biomass and Robotic Maintenance of Cage Aquaculture	207
	Tuyen Tran, Bien Duong, Quyen Vu, Van Le, Oksana Glibko, and Andrey Ronzhin	
17.1	Introduction	208
17.2	Fish Biomass Assessment	210
17.3	Fish Counting	211
17.4	Application of Underwater Robots in Aquaculture	212
17.5	Conclusion	214
	References	214
18	Seasonal Dynamics and Vertical Distribution of Phosphorus in Sediments Under Trout Cage Farms in Lake Ladoga	217
	Alina Guzeva and Artem Lapenkov	
18.1	Introduction	218
18.2	Materials and Methods	219
18.2.1	Study Area	219
18.2.2	Sediment Sampling	220
18.2.3	Laboratory Analysis	221
18.3	Results and Discussion	221
18.3.1	Sediment Cores Description	221
18.3.2	Organic Matter Content	222
18.4	Conclusion	227
	References	227

19 Forecasting the SAM Index Value Using Fourier Series and Neural Networks	229
Pavel Chernyshkov, Aleksey Snytnikov, Marina Solovey, and Aleksandr Tristanov	
19.1 Introduction	230
19.2 Methods	232
19.3 Forecasting Results	233
19.3.1 Forecasting Using Recurrent Neural Network	234
19.3.2 Forecasting Using an Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Model	235
19.3.3 Forecasting Using Fourier Series	236
19.4 Conclusion	237
References	238
 Part III Organic Crop Production	
20 Optimization of Organic Potato Cultivation Technology with Due Account for Changing Weather Conditions on Northern Territories	243
Vladislav Minin, Anton Zakharov, and Sergey Melnikov	
20.1 Background	244
20.2 Methods	245
20.3 Results	247
20.3.1 Weather Conditions	247
20.3.2 Influence of Weather Conditions and Anthropogenic Actions on the Content of Nitrates in the Soil	248
20.3.3 The Influence of Weather Conditions and Anthropogenic Actions on Potato Productivity	250
20.3.4 The Influence of Weather Conditions and Anthropogenic Actions on the Biochemical Composition of Potato Tubers	251
20.4 Discussion	252
20.5 Conclusions	253
References	253
 21 Biological Protection of <i>Solanum Tuberosum</i> L. from Pathogens Using Symbiotic Bacteria <i>Xenorhabdus bovienii</i> Entomopathogenic Nematodes	255
Zinaida Kotova, Leonid Danilov, and Tatiana Danilova	
21.1 Introduction	256
21.2 Materials and Methods	257
21.3 Results and Discussion	258
21.4 Conclusion	261
References	263

22	Post-harvest Biodegradation of Aflatoxin B1 in Rice Grain and Peanut Seeds Infected with <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> Using a Recombinant Oxidase from <i>Armillaria tabescens</i>	265
	Oleg Mikityuk, Tatyana Nazarova, Igor Sinelnikov, and Larisa Shcherbakova	
22.1	Introduction	266
22.2	Materials and Methods	267
22.2.1	Microbial Strains and Crop Samples	267
22.2.2	Main Stages of Production, Isolation and Purification of rAFO	267
22.2.3	Inoculation of Crop Samples with AFB1-Producing <i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	268
22.2.4	Treatments of Inoculated Rice and Peanut with rAFO Enzyme	268
22.2.5	Quantification of Residual AFB1 in Rice Grain and Peanut Seeds After Decontaminating Exposure to rAFO	269
22.2.6	Data Treatment	269
22.3	Results and Discussion	270
22.4	Conclusion	271
	References	273
23	Investigation of the Use of Organic Additives to Improve the Fertile Properties of Soil After Screening	277
	Victoria Bardina, Alexander Gerasimov, Tamara Bardina, and Evgeniya Gorbunova	
23.1	Introduction	278
23.2	Objects and Methods of Research	280
23.3	Results and Discussion	282
23.3.1	Study of Physicochemical and Chemical Parameters	282
23.3.2	Ecotoxicological Studies by Biotesting Method	283
23.3.3	Phytotesting in Vegetation Vessels	284
23.4	Conclusion	286
	References	286
24	Utilization and Recycling of Agricultural Waste with the Help of Biological Objects	289
	Yan Li, Viktor Lemiasheuski, and Svetlana Maksimova	
24.1	Introduction	290
24.2	Materials and Methods	292
24.2.1	Materials	292
24.2.2	Methods	293

24.3	Results and Discussions	295
24.3.1	Worm Survival and Acute Toxicity Tests	295
24.3.2	Experiments with Base Material Additions at Different Stages of Fermentation Vermicomposting Process and Production of Vermicompost	296
24.3.3	Vermicomposting Process and Production of Vermicompost	296
24.4	Conclusions	297
	References	298
Part IV Organic Livestock Farming: Poultry and Dairy Production		
25	Use of <i>Hermetia Illucens</i> Larvae Fat in Feeding of Calves	303
	Roman Nekrasov, Evgenia Tuaeve, Magomed Chabaev, and Nadezhda Bogoluybova	
25.1	Introduction	304
25.2	Materials and Methods	305
25.3	Results	306
25.4	Discussion	308
25.5	Conclusion	309
	References	310
26	The Effect of an Emulsion Based on Coriander (<i>Coriándrum sátivum</i>) and Fennel (<i>Foenículum vulgáre</i>) on the Expression of Genes Forming the Immune Status of Dairy Calves	313
	Konstantin Ostrenko, Anastasia Ovcharova, Nadezhda Belova, Ivan Kutyin, Kirill Koltsov, Vyacheslav Rastashansky, and Natalia Nevkrytaya	
26.1	Introduction	314
26.2	Materials and Methods	317
26.3	Results	319
26.4	Discussion	320
26.5	Conclusions	321
	References	321

27	The Search for Sources of Enterobacteria and Clostridia Endotoxins in Russian Dairy Farms: Possible Transfer of Endotoxins Through the Feed-Cow-Milk Chain	325
	Elena Yildirim, Larisa Ilina, Georgi Laptev, Daria Tyurina, Valentina Filippova, Andrei Dubrovin, Natalia Novikova, Kseniya Kalitkina, Ogulgerek Djepbarova, Ekaterina Ponomareva, Alisa Dubrovina, Irina Klyuchnikova, Natalya Patyukova, Darren Griffin, and Michael Romanov	
	27.1 Introduction	326
	27.2 Materials and Methods	327
	27.3 Results and Discussion	330
	27.4 Conclusion	332
	References	333
28	Effects of Glyphosate and Antibiotics on the Expression of Genes Related to Performance, Antioxidant Protection and Histological Barrier in the Cecum of Broilers	337
	Georgi Laptev, Daria Tyurina, Elena Yildirim, Elena Gorfunkel, Larisa Ilina, Valentina Filippova, Andrei Dubrovin, Alisa Dubrovina, Natalia Novikova, Veronika Melikidi, Kseniya Kalitkina, Ekaterina Ponomareva, Vasilii Zaikin, Irina Klyuchnikova, Darren Griffin, and Michael Romanov	
	28.1 Introduction	338
	28.2 Materials and Methods	338
	28.3 Results and Discussion	341
	28.4 Conclusion	343
	References	344
29	The Influence of Glyphosate in Combination with Antibiotics on the Microbial Community of Broiler's Cecum According to Whole Genome Sequencing	347
	Georgy Laptev, Daria Turina, Valentina Filippova, Elena Yildirim, Larisa Ilina, Elena Gorfunkel, Veronika Melikidi, Andrei Dubrovin, Kseniya Kalitkina, Ekaterina Ponomareva, Vasilii Zaikin, Irina Klyuchnikova, Alisa Dubrovina, Ogulgerek Jepbarova, and Jie Zhu	
	29.1 Introduction	348
	29.2 Materials and Methods	349
	29.3 Results and Discussion	350
	29.4 Conclusion	353
	References	354

30	The Effect of <i>Lactobacillus Reuteri</i> Probiotic Strains on Productivity and Basic Physiological Parameters of Laying Hens	357
	Anastasia Ovcharova, Konstantin Ostrenko, and Andrey Gavrikov	
30.1	Introduction	358
30.2	Materials and Methods	360
30.3	Results	360
30.4	Discussion	361
30.5	Conclusions	362
	References	363
Part V Interdisciplinary Aspects of Organic Production		
31	Organic Beef Production in Russia: Overview of Development Opportunities	369
	Evgenia Rakhimova	
31.1	Introduction	370
31.2	Materials and Methods	372
31.3	Main Results	372
31.4	Conclusion	378
	References	378
32	Legal Support for the Development of Organic Production in the Republic of Belarus	381
	Tamara Makarova, Veranika Saskevich, and Iryna Kuzmich	
32.1	Introduction	382
32.2	Main Part	382
32.3	Conclusion	388
	References	389
33	Econometric Method for Assessing the Level of Digitalization of Regional Agriculture	391
	Petr Akmarov, Olga Knyazeva, Dmitry Kondratiev, and Mircharip Gazetdinov	
33.1	Introduction	392
33.2	Purpose of the Study	393
33.3	Material and Methods	393
33.4	Research Results	393
33.5	Conclusion	399
	References	400

34	Efficiency of Organic Production	401
	Valentina Kundius and Nina Petsukh	
34.1	Introduction	402
34.2	Materials and Methods	403
34.3	Results and Discussion	403
34.4	Conclusion	412
	References	413
35	Increasing the Investment Activity of Organic Producers in Russia	415
	Khapsat Dibirowa and Natalya Osipova	
35.1	Introduction	416
35.2	Results and Discussion	418
35.3	Conclusions	423
	References	423
36	Pricing Strategy in the Activities of Organic Producers	425
	Natalia Nikonova and Aleksey Nikonov	
36.1	Introduction	426
36.2	Materials and Methods	426
36.3	Results	427
36.3.1	Conditions and Factors of Price Formation of Organic Products	427
36.3.2	Concept and Content of the Pricing Strategy in the Activities of Commodity Producers	431
36.3.3	Assessment of the Specifics of the Pricing Strategies of Organic Producers Implemented in Practice and the Necessary Measures to Optimize Pricing	432
36.4	Discussion	434
36.5	Conclusion	434
	References	435
37	Agricultural Production Technologies with Special Quality	437
	Elena Semenova	
37.1	Introduction	438
37.2	Methods	440
37.3	Main Results	440
37.4	Discussion	445
37.5	Conclusions	445
	References	446

38 Insights on Digitalizing the Supply Chain for Sustainable Organic Food Products 449
 Sangram Wandhekar, Aboli Bhatlawande, Gopal Shinde, R. B. Kshirsagar, B. S. Agarkar, and P. U. Ghatge

38.1 Introduction 450
 38.1.1 The Recent Trend in Organic Food Products 450
 38.1.2 Sustainability and Digitalization in Supply Chain Management from Farm to Fork 451

38.2 Materials and Methods 453
 38.2.1 Materials 453
 38.2.2 Methods 454

38.3 Digital Supply Chain Management in Organic Food Production 454
 38.3.1 Concept of Digitalizing the Supply Chain 454
 38.3.2 Benefits of Digitalization in Supply Chain Management 455

38.4 Technologies Enabling Digitalization in the Supply Chain 456
 38.4.1 IoT (Internet of Things) 456
 38.4.2 Blockchain 457
 38.4.3 Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) 457
 38.4.4 Big Data Analytics 458
 38.4.5 Cloud Computing 458

38.5 E-Commerce and Digital Marketing of Organic Food Products 459

38.6 Impacts of Digitalizing the Supply Chain for Sustainable Organic Food Products 459

38.7 Challenges and Future Directions 460

38.8 Conclusion 460

References 461

39 Clustering of Household Plots Using Self-organizing Maps 463
 Anna Ukolova and Denis Bykov

39.1 Introduction 464
 39.2 Materials and Methods of Research 465
 39.3 Results and Discussion 469
 39.4 Conclusions 475

References 476

40 Ag-IoT: Empowering Sustainable and Economic Organic Agriculture 477
 Pratyush Rath, Digambar Perke, Dheeraj Pathrikar, and Buddhahushan Wankhade

40.1 Introduction 478
 40.2 Practical Application in Agriculture 482
 40.2.1 Precision Agriculture 483

- 40.2.2 Environmental Monitoring 484
- 40.2.3 IoT Communication Technology 484
- 40.2.4 Cloud Computing 485
- 40.2.5 Fog Computing 486
- 40.2.6 Supply Chain Visibility 486
- 40.2.7 Smart Irrigation Systems 487
- 40.2.8 Data Analysis 487
- 40.3 Challenges and Opportunities of Using IoT in Agriculture 487
- 40.4 Conclusion 488
- References 488

- Author Index 491**